

**UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE**

CRAIG CARPENITO  
United States Attorney  
EAMONN O'HAGAN  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
970 Broad Street, Suite 700  
Newark, NJ 07102  
Tel: (973) 645-2874

*Attorneys for the United States of America*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

*In re*

JEAN C. KOEGLER, III,

Debtor.

Chapter 13

Case No. 20-12270-VFP

Judge Vincent F. Papalia

**Hearing Date: June 4, 2020**

**Hearing Time: 8:30 a.m.**

**OBJECTION OF INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE TO CONFIRMATION  
OF DEBTOR'S CHAPTER 13 PLAN**

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, on behalf of the Internal Revenue Service (the "Service"), submits the following objection to confirmation of the above-captioned debtor's Chapter 13 Plan (the "Plan") [ECF Doc No. 2]. In support thereof, the Service respectfully represents as follows:

**RELEVANT BACKGROUND**

1. Jean C. Koegler, III (the "Debtor") filed a voluntary petition under chapter 13 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") on February 11, 2020 (the "Petition Date") [ECF Doc. No. 1].

2. The Debtor has unpaid federal tax liabilities for numerous tax years. *See* Claim No. 7. The Debtor has also not yet filed Form 1040 federal income tax returns for tax year 2018 (the “Unfiled Federal Return”). *Id.* Prior to the Petition Date, the Service filed a Notices of Federal Tax Lien (the “Tax Liens”) against the Debtor. *Id.* Pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 6321, the Tax Liens encumber all of the Debtor’s “property and rights to property, whether real or personal.” 26 U.S.C. § 6321 (emphasis added).

3. The Service filed a proof of claim against the Debtor in the amount of \$64,800.09 (the “Service Claim”), consisting of (i) a secured claim under 11 U.S.C. § 506(a), in the amount of \$52,955.55 (the “Secured Claim”), which amount is secured by the value of the Debtor’s scheduled real and personal property<sup>1</sup>; (ii) an unsecured priority claim pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(8) in the amount of \$11,282.34 (the “Priority Claim”)<sup>2</sup>; and (iii) an unsecured non-priority claim of \$562.20. *See* Claim No. 7.

4. For the reasons described below, the Plan is not confirmable.

### **OBJECTION**

#### **A. The Plan is Not Confirmable with Respect to the Service’s Secured Claim**

5. With respect to the Secured Claim, the Plan fails to comply with the provisions of Bankruptcy Code section 1325(a)(5), which requires, *inter alia*, that a

---

<sup>1</sup> *See* Debtor’s Schedule A/B: Property [ECF Doc. No. 1].

<sup>2</sup> This amount is partially estimated because, as noted above, the Debtor has failed to file federal tax returns for all periods entitled to priority under Bankruptcy Code section 507(a)(8).

Chapter 13 Plan provide for the retention of the secured creditor's liens and full payment, plus post-confirmation interest. Here, the Plan provides for no payment of the Secured Claim. *See* Plan, Part 4(g). This defect renders the Plan unconfirmable.

6. In addition to failing to provide for full payment, the Plan is not confirmable with respect to the Secured Claim for the following reasons:

- a. It fails to provide that the Service will retain its liens against the property of the Debtor<sup>3</sup> for the time periods required by § 1325(a)(5)(B)(i)(I)-(II).
- b. It fails to calculate (and provide payment for) post-confirmation interest on the Secured Claim. *Id.* § 1325(a)(5)(B)(ii); *In re Princeton Office Park, L.P.*, 423 B.R. 795, 806 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2010) (recognizing that section 1325(a)(5)(B)(ii) requires payment of an appropriate rate of post-confirmation interest on secured claims).

---

<sup>3</sup> It bears noting that property claimed as exempt by the Debtor under Bankruptcy Code Section 522 does not impact that allowed amount of the Secured Claim. 11 U.S.C. § 522(c)(2)(B) (mandating that “property exempted under this section is not liable during or after the case for any debt of the debtor . . . except . . . a debt secured by a lien that is . . . a tax lien, notice of which was properly filed.” (emphasis added)); *Paratore v. IRS (In re Paratore)*, 1995 Bankr. LEXIS 508, at \*9 (Bankr. D.N.J. 1995) (“It is clear from § 522(c)(2)(B), that Congress did not intend that any bankruptcy debtor could exempt any property from a perfected tax lien.”); *see also Downey v. Georgia (In re Downey)*, 2006 Bankr. LEXIS 2737, at \*4 (Bankr. N.D. Ga. Sept. 27, 2006) (“The Debtors’ estate has an interest in the motor vehicles and personal property, and the Debtor cannot exempt those assets from a tax lien, notice of which is properly filed . . . If the tax lien was properly filed, then, the State has a secured claim to the extent of the value of the Debtors’ assets.”) (internal quotation marks omitted). As noted above, the Plan’s failure to pay the full amount of the Secured Claim renders the Plan unconfirmable.

- i. The interest rate established by 26 U.S.C. § 6621 and the regulations thereunder (3%), applies here. 11 U.S.C. § 511(a)-(b). Thus, the Plan must calculate (and provide for payment of) post-confirmation interest on the Service's Secured Claim over the 60-month Plan period.

**B. The Plan is Generally Unconfirmable Based on Debtor's Failure to File Tax Returns**

7. As noted above and in the Service Claim, the Debtor has yet to submit the Unfiled Federal Return. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 1308(a), the Debtor is required to file all tax returns for tax periods ending within four years of the Petition Date. 11 U.S.C. § 1308(a). Non-compliance with section 1308 renders a chapter 13 plan unconfirmable under Bankruptcy Code section 1325(a)(9). 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(9). Moreover, a debtor's failure to file returns due outside the time period covered by section 1308 also renders a chapter 13 plan unconfirmable under Bankruptcy Code section 1325(a)(3). That section requires that a plan be "proposed in good faith and not by means forbidden by law." 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(3). A debtor's failure to file *all returns* necessary for the Service to calculate its claim precludes them from meeting this requirement. *In re Weik*, 526 B.R. 829, 835 (Bankr. D. Mont. 2015) ("Debtor's attempt to repay some obligations by means of a chapter 13 plan without having filed *all required tax* returns is contrary to § 1325(a)(3)'s requirement that the plan be proposed 'not by any means prohibited by law.'" (emphasis added)).

**CONCLUSION**

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, the Service requests that the Court (i) deny confirmation of the Plan until such time as the above-referenced defects in the Plan are cured; and (ii) grant any other and further relief that the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: May 19, 2020

CRAIG CARPENITO  
United States Attorney

/s/ Eamonn O'Hagan  
EAMONN O'HAGAN  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

*Attorneys for the  
United States of America*